The 20th Century has produced a steady stream of literature which regards the Old Testament as an indispensable and irreplaceable base for the Church’s missionary task among the nations and peoples of this world. As one who has made frequent use of the literature, I wish to look at four motifs in the Old Testament which form the indispensable basis for the New Testament call to the Church to engage in worldwide mission work: the universal motif, the motif of rescue and saving, the missionary motif, and the antagonistic motif.

The Universal Motif
The God who in the Old Testament identifies himself as the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, and who discloses to Moses his personal name, Yahweh, is the God of the whole world. The experience of a few patriarchs and later the one nation of Israel with this God expands to include the horizon of the entire world. We shall cite only a few of the Old Testament passages to illustrate this universal motif.

The Table of Nations in Genesis 10
Genesis 10, with its passage listing the table of nations, is important for understanding the universal motif of the Old Testament. Gerhard von Rad described it as the conclusion to the history of the Creation. All of the nations issue forth from the creative hand of God and stand under his watchful eye of patience and judgment. The nations are not mere decorations incidental to the real drama between God and man; rather, the nations—that is, mankind as a whole—are part of the drama itself. God’s work and activity are directed at the whole of humanity.

This is one of the fundamental truths of Genesis 1-11, the record of history’s beginning; it is also found in the moving account of history’s end, the book of John’s Revelation. The very God who revealed himself to Israel and dwelt among us in Jesus Christ identifies himself as the Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the ending. He does not lay down his work until “every tongue and nation” and “a multitude without number” have been gathered round his throne (Rev 5:9-10 and 7:9-17). God is cutting a path...
directly through the weary and plodding activities of men in history in order to achieve his goals among the nations.

**God’s Election of Israel with His Eye on the Nations**

After the Bible finishes its account of God’s judgment of the nations, so graphically described in the Genesis passage about the Tower of Babel, in chapter 12 it shifts to God’s call to Abraham to leave Ur of the Chaldees. The “God of the whole earth” seems at first glance to narrow his interests to the private history of one family and tribe only, but in actuality nothing could be farther from the truth. In de Groot’s words, “Israel is the opening word in God’s proclaiming salvation, not the Amen.” For a time Israel, the “people of Abraham,” is separated from the other nations (Ex 19:3ff.; Deut 7:14ff.), but only so that through Israel God can pave the way toward achieving his world-embracing goals. In choosing Israel as a segment of all humanity, God never took his eye off the other nations; Israel was a minority called to serve the majority.

God’s election of Abraham and Israel concerns the whole world. He deals so intensely with Israel precisely because he is maintaining his personal claim on the whole world. To speak to this world in the fullness of time, he needed a people. Countless recent studies are emphasizing this very point: God chose Israel in preparation for the complete unwrapping and disclosure of his universal intentions.

Whenever Israel forgot that God chose her with a view to speaking to the other nations and turned away from them in introverted pride, prophets like Amos, Jeremiah and Isaiah lashed out at the people’s ethnocentric pretension and charged them with subverting God’s actual intentions (see especially Amos 9:9-10).

**The Breakthrough of the Universal Motif in the Exile**

Israel’s experiences during the seventh and sixth centuries B.C. opened her eyes to God’s universal intentions. As Israel passed through her catastrophic experience of being trounced by the Babylonians and carted off into exile, the prophets came to see how closely the career of Israel was tied in with the history of the nations. Out of the judgment which Israel was feeling there blossomed the eager hope of a new covenant, a new exodus, another Son of David. Jeremiah, Ezekiel and Isaiah all saw the horizon expanding and bore witness that all nations now fall within the spotlight of God’s promises. The apocalyptic vision of Daniel predicts the coming of the Son of Man whose kingdom shall put an end to the brutish kingdoms of the world and whose domain shall include all peoples (Dan 7:1-29).

**The Motif of Rescue and Liberation**

**Yahweh, the Redeemer of Israel**

The soteriological theme of the Bible, that is, God’s work of rescuing and saving both Israel and the other nations, is tied closely to the theme of universalism. Yahweh, the God of all the earth, displayed his love and kept his word to Israel by freeing her from the bonds of slavery with his strong and outstretched arm (see Deut 9:26; 13:5; 15:15; 24:18). This was a basic part of Israel’s credo and crucial to understanding the first commandment. This God—the one who saves and frees—alone is God. “You shall have no other gods before me” (Ex 20). This credo transformed Israel from being merely one nation among others into the chosen community which owes its very existence to God’s act of deliverance and returns its praises to him in psalms and prayers of thanksgiving.

**Yahweh, the Redeemer of the Nations**

The prophets of Israel grew increasingly aware that not only Israel would share in God’s acts of redemption. God would break in to restore his liberating Lordship over the entire world of the nations.

In their studies, Sundkler and Blauw point out that the prophets develop this theme centripetally; that is, after their rescue the other nations make their pilgrimage back to Zion, the mountain of the Lord. The prophets picture the people of the other nations as returning to Jerusalem, where the God of Israel shall appear as the God of all the peoples (see Isa 2:1-4; Mic 4:1-4; Jer 3:17; Isa 25:6-9; Isa 60; Zech 8:20ff).

Several psalms chant this theme, too. Psalm 87 proclaims Jerusalem as the ecumenical city
whose citizens shall some day include inhabitants of the various nations, even from those nations who once most ardently opposed the God of Israel. They shall join in celebrating God’s restored fellowship with the peoples.

God’s Method of Achieving Liberation

The Bible also describes the means God is using to bring salvation to Israel and the nations. No other Old Testament passage probes more deeply into this matter than the so-called “Servant” songs of Isaiah 40-55. These Servant songs make unmistakable reference to the spread of salvation through the whole world. The Servant shall carry it to the ends of the earth ( Isa 49:6), and he will not stop until righteousness prevails throughout the earth. The coastlands are awaiting his instruction ( Isa 42:4).

The fourth Servant song in chapter 53 uncovers the secret of how the Servant of the Lord shall discharge his mission. This deeply moving passage depicts the Servant becoming a victim of the most savage human butchery. Every kind of mistreatment human minds can devise shall be done to him. However, the Servant also at that point shall be acting as a substitute who is incurring the judgment of God which was properly due not only to Israel but to all peoples and nations. Moreover, this passage describes the nations as Yahweh’s gifts to the Servant in return for his willing obedience to suffer death. He achieved the right to bring salvation and healing to all people.

The Missionary Motif

Connected with the other two Old Testament motifs mentioned previously is the missionary motif. The prophets never tire of reminding Israel that her election is not a privilege which she may selfishly keep for herself; election is a call to service. It involves a duty to witness among the nations. Israel must be a sign to the other nations that Yahweh is both Creator and Liberator. One Servant song ( Isa 49:6) refers to Israel’s mandate to become a light to the nations.

Virtually every author who attempts to explain this call to Israel comes up with the concept of presence. Chosen by God to become the special recipients of his mercy and justice, Israel now has the corresponding duty to live as the people of God among the other nations in order to show them his grace, mercy, justice, and liberating power. Time and time again the prophets recorded their deep disappointment over Israel’s continual sabotage of her divine calling. But however hot their righteous anger burned against Israel’s disobedience, the prophets kept on reminding Israel to the very end of her mandate to be present among the people as distinct people and a royal priesthood.

It is worth noting that since the Second World War, a number of missiologists have urged Christian presence as one of the leading methods of engaging in today’s mission work. For a variety of reasons and in a variety of manners, they claim that the most suitable form of witness lies in simply being a specific kind of people while living among other people. This is not the place to develop this idea further but only to point out that the idea that presence is witness has deep roots in the Old Testament. The prophets continually claimed that by her very act of living out her divine appointment to serve, Israel becomes a sign and bridge for the other nations.

However, I do not believe it is correct to view the missionary motif only in terms of the concept of presence. I simply do not understand why various writers make such a point of avowing that the Old Testament makes absolutely no mention of a missionary mandate.

In his book Mission in the New Testament, Hahn says, for example, that the Old Testament bears a “completely passive character.” In my opinion this is an exaggeration. Bachli’s book Israel and die Volker is closer to the truth by noting that the Exodus account and the Deuteronomic tradition distinguish between am ("people") and qahat ("the religious community") and expressly mention that already in the desert many individuals had joined the qahat who had not been original members of the am. The heathen people too who had come along with Israel and dwelt as strangers among God’s people, participated in Israel’s worship. They heard of God’s mighty deeds and joined Israel in songs of praise.

Then there is that striking number of individuals who left their heathen origins and by word-and-deed witness were won over to trust and serve the living God who had shown them mercy. The stories of Melchizedek, Ruth,
Job, the people of Nineveh described in the book of Jonah, and many others in the Old Testament are windows, as it were, through which we may look out on the vast expanse of people outside the nation of Israel and hear the faint strains of the missionary call to all people already sounding forth.

The wisdom literature of the Old Testament is similar in both form and content to both Greek and Egyptian cultures. Without doubt, her own literature served Israel as a means of communicating her beliefs to the other nations. Moreover, there is no other way of explaining the powerful missionary impact of Judaism during the Diaspora than to affirm that those dispersed Jews from their earliest days had heard and understood their call to witness directly as well as by their presence.

**The Motif of Antagonism**

The above list of Old Testament missionary motifs is incomplete. Intricately connected with each of those mentioned above is the antagonistic motif, that is, Yahweh’s powerful wrestling against those powers and forces which oppose his liberating and gracious authority.

The whole Old Testament (and the New Testament as well) is filled with descriptions of how Yahweh-Adonai, the covenant God of Israel, is waging war against those forces which try to thwart and subvert his plans for his creation. He battles against those false gods which human beings have fashioned from the created world, idolized, and used for their own purposes. Think, for example, of the Baals and the Ashtaroth, whose worshipers elevated nature, the tribe, the state and the nation to a divine status. God fights against magic and astrology which, according to Deuteronomy, bend the line between God and his creation. He contends against every form of social injustice and pulls off every cloak under which it seeks to hide (see Amos and Jeremiah, for example).

The whole of the Old Testament burns with a feverish desire to defeat these opposing powers. There are grand visions of that coming kingdom where every relationship is properly restored and when the whole of creation—people, animals, plants, and every other creature—will be in perfect accord with God’s intentions for it (see Isa 2, Mic 4, and Isa 65). The Old Testament longs for this kingdom’s final revealing and categorically states its promise that Yahweh shall indeed finally overcome. This too is a highly significant theme for missionary participation. To participate in mission is quite impossible unless one also wages war against every form of opposition to God’s intentions wherever it be found, whether in churches, the world of the nations, or one’s own life.

The Old Testament ties the antagonistic motif closely with the doxological theme: the glory of Yahweh-Adonai shall be revealed among all peoples. Then every human being shall come to know him as he really is, the “gracious and merciful God, slow to get angry, full of kindness, and always willing to turn back from meting out disaster” (Jonah 4:1-2).

**The Book of Jonah**

The book of Jonah is so significant for understanding the biblical basis of mission because it treats God’s mandate to his people regarding the Gentile peoples and thus serves as the preparatory step to the missionary mandate of the New Testament. But it is also important for catching a glimpse of the deep resistance this mandate encounters from the very servant Yahweh has chosen to discharge his worldwide work.

Today there is much talk and writing about “educating the congregation” and “educating personnel” for mission. Jonah is a lesson in educating a person to be a missionary: it reveals the need for a radical conversion of one’s natural tendencies and a complete restructuring of his life to make it serviceable for mission.

**Background of the Book**

The title of the book is the personal name of the unwilling prophet, Jonah, and harks back to the days of King Jeroboam II (787-746 B.C.) when a prophet named Jonah ben Amittai was living. It is obvious, however, that this midrash is intended for reasons quite other than detailing the events of this prophet’s life. The author uses this personal name to portray for his readers a missionary who has no heart for the Gentiles and who, like the later Pharisees, cannot tolerate a God who shows them mercy. In the words of the Dutch author Miskotte, “the
writer intends to picture a person who is the exact opposite of an apostle.” The author of Jonah warns his readers against this intolerant attitude and sets before each of them the question of whether he or she is willing to be transformed into a servant who works to accomplish the mandates of God.

As the author sees it, Israel has become so preoccupied with herself that she no longer directs her eyes toward the world of the nations. Israel, the recipient of all God’s revelation, refuses to set foot in alien territory to tell the other peoples God’s message of judgment and liberation. But the message of the book also is addressed to the New Testament congregation which tries various ways of evading her Lord’s command to speak his message to the world.

Jonah’s crafty evasion efforts represent a lazy and unfaithful Church which does not heed its Lord’s command. God has to wrestle against Israel’s narrow ethnocentrism which tries to restrict his activity to the boundaries of Israel alone and against the Church’s ecclesiocentric refusal to go out into the world to proclaim God’s message and do his work. The writer is bent on convincing his readers that the radius of God’s liberating activity is wide enough to cover both Israel and the Gentiles.

It is a miracle that Jonah, with its strong warning against ethnocentrism, ever made its way into the canon of Scripture. It squarely sets forth man’s attempt to sabotage God’s worldwide plans so that its readers—Israel, the New Testament church, and us—can hear what the Holy Spirit through the medium of this little book is trying to tell them.

A Short Review of the Book’s Eight Scenes

The first scene opens with Jonah receiving the command to go to Nineveh. While the Old Testament usually appeals to the other nations to come to Zion, the mountain of God, Jonah, like the disciples of the New Testament (cf. Matt 28:18-20), is told to go! The Septuagint translation of Jonah uses the word poreuomai in 1:2-3 and again in 3:2-3, the very same verb used by Jesus in his Great Commission recorded in Matthew 28. Where must Jonah go? To Nineveh, of all places. Nineveh, a very center of totalitarianism, brutality, and warlike attitudes. To Nineveh, notorious for the shameful hounding, vicious torture, and imperialist brazenness it reserved for those who chose to oppose its policies. God wants his servant to warn Nineveh of impending judgment and to call her to repentance. He wants to save Nineveh!

But Jonah refuses. He prepares himself, to be sure, but only to flee from the face of God who is Lord over all.

In the second scene God responds to Jonah’s flight by sending a mighty storm (1:4-16). The wind obeys Yahweh’s commands, but the disobedient Jonah sleeps in the bottom of the boat, oblivious of the fact that the storm is directed at him. At times the Church, too, sleeps right through the storm of God’s judgment passing over the world, assuring herself that the wind outside has nothing to do with her. While the crew vainly searches for the storm’s cause, Jonah confesses that he worships and fears the God who made both the sea and the dry land, the one God who is above all nations.

This God, he claims, is bringing a charge against him, and the only way to quiet the waters is to throw him into the sea. In this scene the crew represents the Gentiles, a people for whom Jonah is totally unconcerned, and yet who themselves are interested in sparing his life. After a second order from Jonah, they throw him overboard and the storm ceases. Scarcely able to believe their eyes, the sailors break forth in praise to the God of Jonah. Their obedience surpasses that of the saboteur Jonah: they are more open to God than the very prophet himself.

The third scene (1:17) describes a large fish which, at Yahweh’s instructions, opens its mouth to swallow Jonah and spew him onto the shore at the appropriate time. Jonah simply cannot escape God’s missionary mandate. The God who whipped up the stormy winds and directed the sailors to accomplish his purposes now guides a fish as part of his plan to save Nineveh. Yahweh continues his work of reforming and preparing his missionary to be a fit instrument in his plans.

In the fourth scene (2:1-10), Jonah implores God to rescue him from the belly of the fish. He who had no mercy on the Gentiles and refused to acknowledge that God’s promises extended to them now appeals for divine mercy, and by quoting lines from various
psalms, pants after those promises claimed by worshipers in God’s temple.

Yahweh reacts. He speaks to the brute beast and Jonah lands on shore safe and sound. By his very rescue, Jonah was unwittingly a witness of God’s saving mercy. Though covered with seaweed, Jonah was nonetheless a testimony that God takes no delight in the death of sinners and saboteurs but rather rejoices in their conversion.

In the fifth scene (3:1-4), God repeats his order to the man whose very life affirms the truth of what he confessed in the belly of the fish: “Salvation is from Yahweh.” The Septuagint uses the term *kerygma* in 3:1-2ff. That single word summarizes Jonah’s mission: he must proclaim that Nineveh, however godless she may be, is still the object of God’s concern, and unless she repents, she will be destroyed. His message must be one of threat as well as promise, of judgment as well as gospel.

In the sixth scene (3:5-10), Nineveh responds to Jonah’s appeal to repent. The proud, despotic king steps down from his royal throne, exchanges his robes for dust and ashes, and enjoins every man and animal to follow his example. What Israel continually refused to do the heathen Gentiles did do: the cruel king of Nineveh stands as antitype to the disobedient kings of Judah.

The people join the king in repenting. They cease all their devilish work and the terrifying and coercing engines of political injustice come to a halt. In deep penitence they turn away from idols to serve the God who is Lord of every nation and all creation. All this becomes possible because Yahweh is God. The world of the heathen is a potentially productive mission field for no other reason than this: He alone is God.

The sixth scene (3:5-10) recounts the fact that the greatest hurdle to overcome in discharging the missionary mandate was not the sailors, nor the fish, nor Nineveh’s king and citizenry, but rather Jonah himself—the recalcitrant and narrow-minded Church. Chapter 4 describes Jonah, who has long since departed the city to find shelter east of the borders. The forty-day period of repentance has passed, but since God has changed his mind about destroying it, the city continues to be nourished by Yahweh’s grace and mercy.

Jonah is furious that God has extended his mercy beyond the borders of Israel to the Gentiles. He wanted a God cut according to his own pattern: a cold, hard, cruel-natured god with an unbending will set against the heathen. He cannot stand to think of the Gentiles as part of salvation history.

This is Jonah’s sin, the sin of a missionary whose heart is not in it. He who once pleaded with God for mercy from the desolate isolation of a fish’s belly now is angry that this God shows mercy to the nations. He vents his fury in the form of a prayer found in 4:2, the key text of the whole book: “And he prayed to the Lord, ‘This, O Lord, is what I feared when I was in my own country, and to forestall it I tried to escape to Tarshish: I knew that thou art a gracious and compassionate God, long-suffering and ever constant, and always willing to repent of the disaster.’” Part of the text comes from an ancient Israelite liturgy which every Israelite knew by heart and could rattle off in worship at the temple or synagogue while half-asleep (cf. Ex 34:6; Ps 86:15; 103:8, 145:8, Neh 9:17). But Jonah cannot stand to think that this liturgy is true not only for Jerusalem, the location of God’s temple, but for other places as well—Nineveh, Sao Paulo, Nairobi, New York and Paris.

Why is Jonah really so angry? For no other reason than that God is treating those outside his covenant the same as he is those within. But Jonah’s anger in effect is putting himself outside the covenant, for he obstinately refuses to acknowledge the covenant’s purpose—to bring salvation to the heathen. He had not yet learned that Israel could not presume upon some special favors from God. Both Israel and the Gentiles alike live by the grace which the Creator gives to all of his
creatures. So God comes to his prophet, but no longer as a covenant partner; he comes as the Creator and asks his creature: “Do you have a right to be so angry?”

In the eighth and last scene (4:5-11), one can see God still working to teach his thick-skulled missionary his lessons. He did not catch the point of the storm, the sailors, the fish, and Nineveh’s conversion because he did not want to. Now Yahweh tries one more approach—the miraculous tree. A climbing gourd springs up quickly, offers Jonah protection against the beating sun, but as quickly withers and dies, the victim of an attacking worm. Jonah is peeved.

At that point God again turns to his missionary-student, using the tree as his object lesson. The very God who directs the whole course of history, rules the wind and waves and turned Nineveh’s millions to repentance now asks tenderly: “Are you so angry over the gourd? You are sorry about the gourd, though you had nothing to do with growing it, a plant which came up in a night and withered in a night. And should not I be sorry for the great city of Nineveh, with its 120,000 who cannot tell their right hand from their left, and cattle without number?”

God spares and rescues. Jerusalem’s God is Nineveh’s as well. Unlike Jonah, he has no “Gentile complex.” And while he never forces any one of us, he tenderly asks us to put our whole heart and soul into the work of mission. God is still interested in transforming obstinate, irritable, depressive, peevish Jonahs into heralds of the Good News which brings freedom.

The book ends with an unsettling question which is never answered: “God reached his goal with Nineveh, but what about Jonah?” No one knows. The question of Israel and the Church and their obedience is still an open one. The question is one which every generation of Christians must answer for itself. Jacques Ellul closes his book The Judgment of Jonah with these words: “The Book of Jonah has no conclusion, and the final question of the book has no answer, except from the one who realizes the fullness of the mercy of God and who actually and not just mythically accomplishes the salvation of the world.”

The New Testament church must pay close heed to the message of Jonah’s book. Jesus Christ is “One greater than Jonah” (Matt 12:39-41, Luke 11:29-32). His death on the cross with its awful cry of God-forsakenness and his resurrection with its jubilant shout of victory are signs of Jonah for us, pointing to the profound meaning of his whole life and clearly attesting that God loved the whole world so much. If a person draws his lifeblood from the one greater than Jonah and yet declines to spread the Good News among others, he in effect is sabotaging the aims of God himself. Jonah is father to all those Christians who desire the benefits and blessings of election but refuse its responsibility. Thomas Carlisle’s poem “You Jonah” closes with these lines:

And Jonah stalked to his shaded seat and waited for God to come around to his way of thinking.
And God is still waiting for a host of Jonahs in their comfortable houses to come around to his way of loving.

End Notes

Study Questions
1. Why does Verkuyl disagree with various writers who claim the Old Testament makes no mention of a missionary mandate?

2. Jonah is a lesson in the need for a radical conversion of one’s natural tendencies and a complete restructuring of one’s life to make it serviceable for mission. What were the natural tendencies and restructuring that had to be done in Jonah’s life? How was this same need typified in the nation of Israel?